

Attorney Docket No.: 016295.0618

Express Mail Label No.: EL483884183US

Date of Deposit with Express Mail: September 26, 2000

#### PATENT



### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Box PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

#### NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.53(b)

Transmitted herewith for filing is a patent application of:

Inventors:

Jenwei Hsieh 10806 Scotland Well Dr. Austin, TX 78750

Victor Mashayekhi 2015 Wood Glen Drive Round Rock, TX 78681

For:

System and Method for Field Upgradeable Switches Built from Routing Components

This new application is an original (nonprovisional) patent application.

Enclosed are: (a) Ten (10) pages of specification including one title page;

- (b) Four (4) pages of claims;
- (c) One (1) page of abstract;
- (d) Six (6) sheets of drawings;
- (e) Signed combined Declaration and Power of Attorney;
- (f) Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) PTO-1449 with copies of references cited;
- (g) Assignment and assignment cover sheet executed by the inventors and a check in the amount of \$40.00; and

HOU02:750658.1

### (h) Return Receipt Postcard.

	CL	AIMS F	OR F	EE CALCUI	LATION	
	Number			Number Extra	Rate	Basic Fee \$690.00
Total Claims:	24	-20	=	4	x \$18 =	\$72.00
Independent Claims:	4	-3	=	1	x \$78 =	\$78.00
				Total F	iling Fee =	\$840.00
-				TOTA	AL FEES =	\$840.00

(i) Included is a check in the amount of \$840.00.

Please direct all correspondence to: Khannan Suntharam Baker Botts L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street Houston, Texas 77002-4995

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. Attorney for Applicants

Khannan Suntharam

Reg. No. 45,144

One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street Houston, Texas 77002-4995 (713) 229-1595

Attorney Docket No.: 016295.0618

Date: September 26, 2000

..

# SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR FIELD UPGRADEABLE SWITCHES BUILT FROM ROUTING COMPONENTS

Inventors: Jenwei Hsieh

10806 Scotland Well Dr. Austin, TX 78750

Victor Mashayekhi 2015 Wood Glen Drive Round Rock, TX 78681

Assignee: DELL PRODUCTS, L.P.

One Dell Way

Round Rock, Texas 78682-2244

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Houston, Texas 77002-4995

Attorney's Docket: 016295.0618

(DC-02473)

# SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR FIELD UPGRADEABLE SWITCHES BUILT FROM ROUTING COMPONENTS

### TECHNICAL FIELD

The present disclosure relates in general to the field of computer systems, and, more particularly, to a system and method for providing field upgradeable switches built from routing components in a computer network environment.

#### BACKGROUND

5

THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

15

The same same of the

13

20

Computer networking environments such as Local Area Networks (LANs) and Wide Area Networks (WANs) permit many users, often at remote locations, to share communication, data, and resources. A storage area network (SAN) may be used to provide centralized data sharing, data backup, and storage management in these networked computer environments. The networks typically employ network switches to provide the routing functions necessary for the transmission of data between the various devices connected to the network. It is important that the network switch contain enough routing components to fully support the devices to which the network switch is connected. If the network switch does not contain a sufficient number of routing components, then the network switch may act a bottleneck and limit the effective bandwidth of the network. Therefore, as new devices are added to the computer network, a network administrator will generally ensure that the network switches are large enough to support the added devices.

Network switches generally posses a fixed internal topology, In other words, how the internal components interconnect is predetermined and cannot be changed. Therefore, the network switch is designed with a predetermined and fixed bandwidth. As a result, if a network switch is no longer sufficient to support the number of network devices to which it is attached, then the network switch must be replaced with a larger network switch. Generally, the expense of a switch will increase as more routing components are added. Thus, a switch that incorporates six routing components will be more expensive than a switch that incorporates four routing components. As a result, the process of upgrading a network is expensive. In addition, the upgrading a network is wasteful because the replaced network switches typically cannot be incorporated into the network.

25

3

#### SUMMARY

In accordance with teachings of the present disclosure, a system and method for providing field upgradeable switches built from routing components for a computer network environment are disclosed that provide significant advantages over prior developed systems.

A network switch is disclosed that contains the minimum number of routing components necessary to provide connections for the number of network devices that it is designed to support. Thus, while the network switch can inter-connect the devices, it does not provide full bandwidth interconnectivity. The network switch may receive an enhancement module. This module contains additional routing components. When the module is received by the network switch, the number of effective routing components contained in the switch is increased. As a result, the effective bandwidth of the network switch is increased. Thus, the network switch is field upgradeable. Network administrators who do not need a full-bandwidth switch can order the network switch without the module. Network administrators may later upgrade their network switch into a full-bandwidth switch with the module. The network switch is preferably built from ASIC-based routing chips with arbitrary routing ports.

A technical advantage of the present network switch is that it allows a network administrator to easily upgrade a network switch without having to perform additional hardware or software operations. Another technical advantage of the present network switch is that a network administrator may upgrade the network switch without having to incur the expense of replacing the network switch with a larger network switch. Another technical advantage of the present network switch is that it allows a network administrator to trade off bandwidth capability and expense to find the optimal balance for a given network.

Other technical advantages should be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the specification, claims, and drawings.

5

4

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A more complete understanding of the present embodiments and advantages thereof may be acquired by referring to the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which like reference numbers indicate like features, and wherein:

Figure 1 is a diagram of a 16-port network switch and module;

Figure 2a is a diagram of the internal topology of a 16-port network switch without the module;

Figure 2b is a diagram of the internal topology of a 16-port network switch with the module:

Figure 2c is a conceptual diagram of the internal topology of a 16-port network switch with the module:

Figure 3 is a diagram of a 32-port network switch and module;

Figure 4a is a diagram of the internal topology of a 32-port network switch without the module;

Figure 4b is a diagram of the internal topology of a 32-port network switch with the module:

Figure 5 is a diagram of an 8-port network switch and module;

Figure 6a is a diagram of the internal topology of an 8-port network switch without the module; and

Figure 6b is a diagram of the internal topology of an 8-port network switch with the module.

25

5

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Shown in Figure 1 is a sixteen port switch 10, with ports 12, and a module interface 14 operable for accepting module 16 such that module 16 is communicatively coupled with switch 10. Switch 10 may be any device operable to communicatively couple networked devices. For example, switch 10 may be serve as a switch operable to filtering or forwarding packets of data between networked devices. Thus, switch 10 may operate at the data link layer and be responsible for physically passing data from one node to another. Switch 10 may also serve as a routing switch and perform routing functions. In this case, switch 10 operates at the network layer and is responsible for routing data from one node to another. Switch 10 may be a router operable to connect computer networks, such as LANs, SANs or other networks. For example, switch 10 may be operable to use headers and forwarding tables to determine packet destinations and communicate with other routers to configure the optimal route between any two hosts or nodes. Port 12 may be any interface suitable for coupling switch 10 to a network device such as a computer system or server.

The module interface 14 and module 16 are preferably designed such that the integration of module 16 may be performed without any additional hardware or software configuration. Once module 16 has been received by module interface 14, module 16 is communicatively coupled to switch 10. Thus, coupling module 16 with switch 10 is a simple field operation. Switch 10 may contain more than one module interface 14 so that more than one module 16 may be communicatively coupled to switch 10. The switch 10 shown in Figure 1 comprises sixteen ports 12 and is therefore operable to provide interconnections between sixteen devices or systems.

Figure 2a shows the internal topology of the sixteen port switch 10 shown in Figure 1 without module 16. Generally, switch 10 comprises one or more routing components 18 that are operable to communicatively couple devices 22 via interconnections or links 20. Routing components 18 are preferably application specific integrated circuit (ASIC) based chips. As opposed to general purpose integrated circuits, ASICs are typically custom chips designed for a specific application by integrating standard cells from an existing library. In this case, the ASIC based chips are designed for routing operations.

25

30

6

The switch 10 illustrated in Figure 2a contains four 8-by-8 intermediate routing components 18. An 8-by-8 routing component 18 is operable to support eight links 20. As discussed above, devices 22 may be workstations, computer systems, servers, SAN storage devices or any other device suitable for coupling to a computer network. The switch 10 shown in Figure 2a only provides two links 20 between every four pairs of devices 22. For example, between computers 22a through 22d and computers 22e through 22h, there are only two links 20. Thus, communications between one pair of devices must be transmitted through the same link used by another pair of devices. As a result, devices 22 cannot communicate with each other at the full network throughput. Accordingly, the switch 10 shown in Figure 2a only allows for a lean-tree topology, rather than a fat-tree topology, because the bandwidth between the routing components is not full. While the switch 10 shown in Figure 2a has enough routing components 18 and associated links 20 to support sixteen devices 22, switch 10 does not have enough routing components 18 to support sixteen devices 22 with full bandwidth.

Figure 2b shows the internal topology of the sixteen port switch 10 shown in Figure 1, wherein module 16 has been coupled to switch 10. Module 16 comprises two additional 8-by-8 routing components 26. The interconnections for communicatively coupling the routing components 26 of module 10 with the intermediate routing components 18 of switch 10 may be contained in module 16 or module interface 14. The additional routing components 26 and links 20 corresponding to module 16 are shown in area 24.

One goal of the present network switch is to allow for an increase in the message transmission bandwidth of switch 10. One measurement of bandwidth is the bisectional bandwidth in bytes per second. The incorporation of the additional routing components 26 results in an increase in the bisectional bandwidth for switch 10. Bisectional bandwidth is a common measure of the effectiveness of a computer network's interconnectivity. Bisectional bandwidth is generally defined as the minimum bandwidth across all the possible planes that can divide a given network into two sets such that each set has an equal number of nodes. Alternatively, the bisectional bandwidth may be determined by dividing a system in half and counting the number of cut connections that normally would have allowed one half of the system to communicate with the other half. Thus, the

25

7

bisectional bandwidth is a worst-case bandwidth measurement. A low bisectional bandwidth for a network generally indicates the existence of a bottleneck that limits system throughput. A high bisectional bandwidth is desirable because it indicates that data is being transmitted between the nodes of the network at a high bandwidth. Due to the increased number of links 20, the bisectional bandwidth for the switch shown in Figure 2b is higher than that for the switch shown in Figure 2a.

Figure 2c is a conceptual view of the topology of the switch shown in Figure 2b. As shown in Figure 2c, the two routing components 26 of module 16 effectively add a second layer of connections or links, resulting in a fat-tree topology. The switch shown in Figures 2 now provides four pairs of links 20 between every four pairs of devices 22. For example, there are now four links between computers 22a through 22d and computers 22e through 22h. As a result, devices 22 can communicate with each other at the full network throughput. In this case, the incorporation of 50% more routing components has doubled the bisectional bandwidth of switch 10. Thus, the incorporation of module 16 increases the internal connectivity of switch 10 to allow for full bisectional bandwidth.

The present network switch is not limited to the particular configuration shown in Figures 1 through 2. For example, the switch may incorporate more or less routing components, or incorporate different types of routing components. Shown in Figure 3 is a thirty-two port switch 28, with ports 30, and module interface 32 operable for accepting module 34 such that module 34 is communicatively coupled with switch 28. Figure 4a shows the internal topology of the thirty-two port switch 28 shown in Figure 3. Similar to switch 10 shown in Figure 2a, switch 28 also uses 8-by-8 routing components. However, switch 28 initially contains eight 8-by-8 routing components 34, instead of four. As a result, switch 28 is operable to support thirty-two devices 38 via ports 30 instead of sixteen. However, while the switch 28 has enough intermediate routing components 34 to support thirty-two devices 38, switch 28 does not have enough routing components 34 to support thirty-two devices 38 with full bandwidth. In other words, switch 28 does not provide a sufficient number of links 36 between intermediate routing components 34 to allow devices 34 to communicate with each other at the full network throughput.

25

30

5

8

Figure 4b shows the internal topology of the thirty-two port switch 28 shown in Figure 3, wherein the module 34 has been coupled to switch 28. Module 34 comprises four additional 8-by-8 routing components 42. The interconnections for communicatively coupling the routing components 42 of module 34 with the intermediate routing components 34 of switch 28 may be contained in module 34 or module interface 32. The additional routing components 42 and links 36 provided by module 34 are shown in area 40. Due to the increased number of routing components 34 and 46, and the corresponding increase in links 36, module 34 has enhanced the internal topology of switch 28. As a result, the bisectional bandwidth of switch 28 has been increased.

The present network switch may also be used for switches that incorporate different types of routing components. Figure 5 shows a eight port switch 44, with ports 46 and module interface 50 operable to receive module 52 such that module 52 is communicatively coupled with switch 44. Figure 6a shows the internal topology of the eight port switch 28 shown in Figure 5. Instead of using 8-by-8 routing components, switch 44 initially contains four 4-by-4 routing components 54. Each four-by-four routing component 54 is operable to support four links 56. As a result, switch 44 is operable to support eight devices 58 via ports 46. However, while the switch 44 has enough intermediate routing components 54 to support eight devices 58, switch 44 does not have enough routing components 54 to support eight devices 58 with full bandwidth. In other words, switch 44 does not provide a sufficient number of links 56 between intermediate routing components 54 to allow devices 58 to communicate with each other at the full network throughput.

Figure 6b shows the internal topology of the eight port switch 44 shown in Figure 5, wherein the module 52 has been coupled to switch 44. Module 52 comprises two additional 4-by-4 routing components 62. As discussed above, the interconnections for communicatively coupling the routing components 62 of module 52 with the intermediate routing components 54 of switch 44 may be contained in module 52 or module interface 50. The additional routing components 62 and links 56 provided by module 52 are shown in area 60. Due to the increased number of routing components 54 and 62, and the corresponding increase in links 56, module 44 has enhanced the internal topology of switch 44. As a result, the bisectional bandwidth of switch 44 has been increased.

9

As discussed above, the disclosed network switch may be used for switches that incorporate 4-by-4, 8-by-8, 16-by-16, or any other sized routing component. In addition, the disclosed network switch may be used for switches that incorporate any number of routing components. Furthermore, the present network switch may be used for any type of computer network that utilizes switches and routing components. Thus, the disclosed network switch may be applied to any kind of switch design that uses routing components in order to increase the connectivity of the switch.

Although the disclosed embodiments have been described in detail, it should be understood that various changes, substitutions, and alterations can be made to the embodiments without departing from their spirited scope.

5

10

#### WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- A computer system comprising:
- a switch operable to communicatively couple a plurality of devices, wherein the switch is operable to receive a module, wherein the module comprises one or more module routing components operable to communicatively couple with the devices when the module is received by the switch.
- The computer system of claim 1, wherein the switch further comprises one or more intermediate routing components operable to communicatively couple with the module routing components when the module is received by the switch.
- The computer system of claim 2, wherein the module routing components are the same type as the intermediate routing components.
- The computer system of claim 2, wherein the intermediate routing components and module routing components are ASIC-based routing components.
- The computer system of claim 2, wherein the number of module routing components is equal to half the number of intermediate routing components.
- The computer system of claim 1, wherein the switch is operable to receive a plurality of modules.

5

11

- 7. A network switch operable to communicatively couple a plurality of devices attached to a computer network, wherein the network switch comprises a module interface operable to receive a module, wherein the module comprises one or more module routing components operable to communicatively couple with the devices when the module is received by the network switch.
- 8. The network switch of claim 7, further comprising one or more intermediate routing components operable to communicatively couple with the module routing components when the module is received by the network switch.
- 9. The network switch of claim 8, wherein the module routing components are the same type as the intermediate routing components.
- The network switch of claim 8, wherein the intermediate routing components and module routing components are ASIC-based routing components.
- 11. The network switch of claim 8, wherein the number of module routing components is equal to half the number of intermediate routing components.
- 12. The network switch of claim 7, wherein the network switch comprises a plurality of module interfaces.

5

12

- 13. A module operable to be received by a network switch operable to communicatively couple a plurality of devices attached to a computer network, wherein the module comprises one or more module routing components operable to communicatively couple with the devices when the module is received by the network switch.
- 14. The module of claim 13, wherein the network switch further comprises one or more intermediate routing components operable to communicatively couple with the module routing components when the module is received by the network switch.
- 15. The module of claim 14, wherein the module routing components are the same type as the intermediate routing components.
- The module of claim 14, wherein the intermediate routing components and module routing components are ASIC-based routing components.
- 17. The module of claim 14, wherein the number of module routing components is equal to half the number of intermediate routing components.
- 18. The module of claim 13, wherein the network switch is operable to receive a plurality of modules.

5

13

 A method for upgrading the bisectional bandwidth of a network comprising a plurality of devices, comprising the steps of:

providing a network switch operable to communicatively couple the devices attached to the network, wherein the network switch comprises a module interface operable to receive a module;

providing a module comprising one or more module routing components operable to communicatively couple with the devices when the module is received by the network switch; and

receiving the module.

- 20. The method of claim 19, wherein the network switch further comprises one or more intermediate routing components operable to communicatively couple with the module routing components when the module is received by the network switch.
- 21. The method of claim 20, wherein the module routing components are the same type as the intermediate routing components.
- The method of claim 20, wherein the intermediate routing components and module routing components are ASIC-based routing components.
- 23. The method of claim 20, wherein the number of module routing components is equal to half the number of intermediate routing components.
- 24. The method of claim 19, wherein the network switch is operable to receive a 25 plurality of modules.


## SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR FIELD UPGRADEABLE SWITCHES BUILT FROM ROUTING COMPONENTS


#### ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE


A system and method for providing field upgradeable switches built from ASIC-based routing components is disclosed. A network switch contains intermediate routing components and an interface that allows the switching component to couple with a module. The module contains additional routing components and may be coupled to the network switch as a simple field operation. When the module is coupled with the network switch, the routing components of the module are communicatively coupled with the intermediate routing components of the network switch. Thus, the network switch now contains additional routing components. As a result, the addition of the module enhances the internal topology of network switch and increases its effective bisectional bandwidth.


22e 22f 22g


+














Daniel Barriston

40

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 016295.0618 (DC-02473)

1

#### DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that I believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention or design entitled "System and Method for Field Upgradeable Switches Built from Routing Components", the specification of which (check one):

X is attached hereto; or
was filed on as
Application Serial No
and was amended on (if applicable);
that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-
identified specification, including the claims, as amended by
any amendment referred to above; and that I acknowledge the duty
to disclose to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office all
information known to me to be material to patentability as
defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

			Priority
		Date	Claimed
Number	Country	Filed	(Yes) (No)

NONE

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial Number Date Filed

Status

NONE

#### I hereby appoint:

Henry Garrana	Reg.	No.	27,887
Mark P. Kahler	Reg.	No.	29,178
Anthony E. Peterman	Reg.	No.	38,270
Diana L. Roberts	Reg.	No.	36,654

#### of Dell Computer Corporation, and the following:

Scott F. Partridge	Reg. No. 28,142
Rodger L. Tate	Reg. No. 27,399
Jerry W. Mills	Reg. No. 23,005
Mitchell D. Lukin	Reg. No. 30,772
Michael E. Wilson	Reg. No. 32,835
G. Alan Witte	Reg. No. 36,061
Lori D. Stiffler	Reg. No. 36,939
Ann C. Livingston	Reg. No. 32,479
Thomas R. Felger	Reg. No. 28,842
Roger J. Fulghum	Reg. No. 39,678
Robert W. Holland	Reg. No. 40,020
Claude E. Cooke, Jr.	Reg. No. 34,142
Melissa J. Szanto	Reg. No. 40,834
Scott T. Morris	Reg. No. 43,818
Khannan Suntharam	Reg. No. 45,144
Michael Locklar	Reg. No. 44,878
Michael A. Hawes	Reg. No. 38,487

all of the firm of Baker Botts L.L.P., my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith, and to file and prosecute any international patent applications filed thereon before any international authorities.

Send Correspondence To: Baker Botts L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Houston, Texas 77002-4995 <u>Direct Telephone Calls To:</u>
Khannan Suntharam
at (713) 229-1595
Atty. Docket No.016295.0618

I declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

(DC-02473)

4

Full name of the first inventor

Inventor's Signature

Date

Residence (City, County, State)

Citizenship

Post Office Address

Jenwei Hsieh

Sunt

Austin, Travis County, Texas

United States of America

10806 Scotland Well Dr. Austin, Texas 78750

Full name of the second inventor

Inventor's Signature

Date

Residence (City, County, State)

Citizenship

Post Office Address

Victor Mashayekhi

dousous.

Round Rock, Williamson

County, Texas

United States of America

2015 Wood Glen Drive Round Rock, Texas 78681